



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/524,758 | 11/14/2005 | Koji Aoyama | SON-2803 | 6895 |
| 23353 | 7590 | 09/26/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | | BLOOM, NATHAN J |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 2624 | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | 09/26/2008 | | |
| | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/524,758 | AOYAMA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | NATHAN BLOOM | 2624 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) NATHAN BLOOM. (3) _____.

(2) N. Meyere Zohn (Reg. 55761). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008

Time: 10:30 AM EST

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 3, 9, and 14 in view of JP H11-196313

Claims discussed:

3,9, 11-12, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

JP H11-196313

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed (07/22/2008) that the independent claims 3, 9, and 14 be amended to overcome the newly cited prior art document JP H11-19631. Furthermore, correction of the dependency of claims 11-12 (dependent on cancelled claim) was proposed by the Examiner. Applicant and Examiner discussed (07/22/2008) and approved (07/31/2008) an amendment (see Examiner's Amendment for details) to claims 3, 9, 11-12, and 14.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

07/31/2008

/N. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

